Remarks

Reconsideration is requested in view of the above amendments and the following remarks. Claims 1-61 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer in favor of new claims 62-126. Claims 62-126 are pending. The new claims are supported by the original disclosure, and no new matter has been added.

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the telephone interview conducted on September 12, 2002 with Applicants representative, James A. Larson. During the interview, draft claims sent to the Examiner on September 11, 2002 were discussed. No agreement concerning the allowability of claims was reached.

During the interview, the Examiner indicated that a restriction requirement would be applied to the draft claims, if formally introduced, based on original presentation and examination of claims, each of which allegedly recited a blade. Applicant's representative pointed out that at least one original claim (see, e.g., claim 16) was examined that did not recite a blade. The Examiner stated that a blade was inherently recited in claim 16, based upon the language "splitting blade assembly".

Applicants respectfully submit that restriction of the newly presented claims would be improper. Examination was conducted on claim 16, which does not recite, explicitly or implicitly, a splitting blade. Original claim 17, which depended from claim 16, recited a splitting blade. Based on the doctrine of claim differentiation, the recitation of the splitting blade in claim 17 necessarily implies that claim 16 did not recite a splitting blade. Moreover, since the new claims, like the originally examined claims, are directed toward block splitters, splitting assemblies, and methods relating thereto, examination of the new claims would not require additional searching or place any undue burden on the Examiner.

A substitute specification is included herewith, along with a marked-up copy of the specification showing all changes being made. The specification has been amended to correct a variety of minor informalities and to improve the form thereof. The term "masonry" has been replaced with "concrete" in order to use consistent terminology throughout the specification. In addition, the term "protrusions" has been replaced with "projections" in order to use consistent terminology throughout the specification.

Further, the specification now describes the blades and projections as being mounted on blade holders. This change is supported by the original disclosure, for example page 5, lines 7-12 and Figure 1.

The specification further describes the block splitter as having a "splitting line" as a reference for the location of the projections. The words "splitting line" do not literally appear in the original description. However, block splitters conventionally have a line with which the work piece is aligned for splitting and with which the splitting blades, if used, are aligned. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that "splitting line" is acceptable and is appropriately descriptive of what is disclosed in the original disclosure; that one skilled in this art would understand that any of the block splitter embodiments of the present invention would have a line with which the work piece is aligned for splitting and it would be natural to call that line the splitting line.

Moreover, Applicant's are now claiming the benefit, under 35 USC 120 as a continuation-in-part application, of the filing date of application serial no. 09/330,879 (now U.S. Patent 6,321,740) filed on June 11, 1999. Based upon the filing date of the present application, the time limit provisions of 37 CFR 1.78 do not apply.

Entry of the substitute specification is requested. No new matter has been added.

Applicants further propose amending the figures as shown in red on the Proposed Drawing Correction filed herewith. The proposed changes involve reference characters and reflect changes made to the specification. Formal drawings incorporating the proposed changes will be submitted at a later date pending approval of the changes by the Examiner.

Office Action

Turning now to the action, claims 17, 18 and 61 are rejected as being indefinite. Claims 17, 18 and 61 have been canceled. The language formerly used in claim 61 is no longer used. The language "each side" is used in some of the new claims, for example claim 64. Applicants respectfully submit that "each side" is definite and has proper antecedent basis. Claim 62 recites "...projections disposed on at least one side of the splitting line...". Therefore, the splitting line has at least two sides. Claim 63 recites "...a splitting blade aligned with the splitting line". As a

result, there are at least two sides to the splitting blade. The use of "each side" in, e.g. claim 64, is therefore definite and has sufficient antecedent basis.

Claims 1-61 have been rejected over US 6,321,740 for obviousness-type double patenting. Claims 1-61 have been canceled, rendering the rejection moot.

Further, claims 1-10, 14-27, 31-44, and 48-61 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Fladgard et al. (US 5,722,386; hereinafter "Fladgard"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In addition, claims 11-13, 28-30 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fladgard. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Previous claims 1-61 have been canceled and replaced with new claims 62-126. Applicant's discussion of Fladgard will be directed to new claims 62-126.

Independent claim 62 recites a block splitter having a splitting line with which a work piece is aligned for splitting the work piece into at least two pieces. The block splitter includes a splitting assembly with a plurality of projections disposed on at least one side of the splitting line. The projections are positioned so that they engage the work piece as it is split, whereby the splitting assembly contributes to the formation of at least one irregular edge and surface on at least one of the split pieces. Dependent claims 63-81 further limit the block splitter.

Independent claim 82 recites a block splitter having a splitting line with which a work piece is aligned for splitting the work piece into at least two pieces. The block splitter includes a first splitting assembly with means for engaging the work piece at a plurality of spaced locations on at least one side of the splitting line as the work piece is split into the at least two pieces by the block splitter. The means for engaging contributes to the formation of at least one irregular split edge and surface on at least one of the split pieces. Dependent claims 83-86 further limit the block splitter.

Independent claim 87 recites a block splitter having a splitting line with which a work piece is aligned for splitting the work piece into at least two pieces. The block splitter includes a first splitting assembly that is configured to engage the work piece at a plurality of spaced locations on at least one side of the splitting line as the work piece is split into the at least two pieces by the block splitter, whereby the first splitting assembly distresses portions of at least one

of the split pieces at locations spaced from the splitting line. Dependent claims 88-91 further limit the block splitter.

Independent claim 92 recites a concrete block splitter for splitting a concrete work piece into at least two pieces. The block splitter includes a first splitting assembly has a first splitting blade connected to a first blade holder. The first blade holder includes a blade holder surface extending away from the first splitting blade on at least one side thereof, and the blade holder surface is disposed at an acute angle relative to horizontal. The blade holder surface is engageable with the work piece during the splitting operation wherein the blade holder surface distresses at least a portion of at least one of the split pieces. Dependent claim 93 further limits the block splitter of claim 92.

Independent claim 94 recites a splitting assembly for use in a block splitter having a splitting line with which a work piece is aligned for splitting the work piece into at least two pieces. The splitting assembly includes a plurality of projections disposed adjacent to the splitting line on at least one side thereof. The projections are positioned so that they engage the work piece during a splitting operation, whereby the projections contribute to the formation of at least one irregular edge and surface on at least one of the split pieces. Dependent claims 95-107 further limit the splitting assembly of claim 94.

Independent claim 108 recites a splitting blade assembly for use in a block splitter. The splitting blade assembly includes a splitting blade and a plurality of projections positioned adjacent to the blade on at least one side thereof. The projections and the splitting blade are fixed relative to each other during a splitting operation whereby the projections and the blade move simultaneously during the splitting operation. Dependent claims 109-118 further limit the splitting assembly of claim 108.

Independent claim 119 recites a method of producing a concrete block having at least one irregular split edge and surface. The method comprises providing a block splitter with a splitting line and a splitting assembly that includes a plurality of projections disposed on at least one side of the splitting line. The projections are engaged so that they engage the work piece during the splitting operation. A concrete work piece is located in the block splitter so that it is aligned with the splitting line. The work piece is then split into at least two pieces using the splitting

assembly, with at least one of the pieces having the at least one irregular edge and surface. Dependent claims 120-126 further limit the method of claim 119.

Fladgard discloses first and second cutting blades 50a, 50b that are mounted in blade holders 40a, 40b, respectively (col. 3, lines 31-33). The blade holders 40a, 40b include single piece frame segments 42a, 42b, 44a, 44b, with the frame segments including integral male projections 43a, 43b and recesses 45a, 45b shaped to receive the male projections (col. 3, lines 49-53). The cutting blades 50a, 50b have serrated or sawtooth edges, and are clamped between the frame segments 42a, 44a, 42b, 44b (col. 3, lines 53-67; col. 4, lines 1-4). As illustrated in Figures 1 and 5a, the edges of the blades 50a, 50b project a significant distance above or below the plane of the respective frame segments. The cutting blade 50a, in use, is actuated by the ram 24 toward the blade 50b, which causes the blades to penetrate into a work piece and cause a crack to propagate through the work piece between the blades thereby splitting the work piece (col. 3, lines 33-40).

Fladgard does not teach a plurality of projections on at least one side of the splitting line positioned to engage the work piece during splitting. Nor does Fladgard teach means for engaging the work piece at a plurality of spaced locations on at least one side of the splitting line as the work piece is split to contribute to the formation of at least one irregular split edge and surface on at least one of the split pieces. Nor does Fladgard teach a splitting assembly that is configured to engage the work piece at a plurality of spaced locations on at least one side of the splitting line as the work piece is split into the at least two pieces by the block splitter, whereby the splitting assembly distresses portions of at least one of the split pieces at locations spaced from the splitting line. Nor does Fladgard teach a resulting split piece or block having at least one irregular split edge and surface.

The Examiner indicates that the frame segments 42a, 42b, 44a, 44b of Fladgard are projections. However, the frame segments of Fladgard are of single piece construction. Therefore, even if the frame segments are properly construed as being projections, which Applicants do not concede, Fladgard does not teach a plurality of projections on at least one side of the splitting line positioned to engage the work piece during splitting. The frame segments of Fladgard, during normal use of the Fladgard device, would not contact the cement work piece which it is cutting. It is the cutting blades 50a, 50b of Fladgard that contact the work piece and

that produce the splitting action (col. 3, lines 36-40). If the frame segments were to contact the work piece, such contact could break away portions of the work piece adjacent the top and/or bottom edges of the workpiece, thereby destroying the clean cut that Fladgard seeks to achieve (col. 2, line 4).

Further, the resulting split piece in Fladgard does not have at least one irregular split edge and surface. The products in Fladgard are cut into a non-linear shape. However, the products in Fladgard do not have both an irregular split edge and an irregular surface. The upper and lower edges in Fladgard are regular (they are sharp and perpendicular to the face of the split piece). In Applicant's invention, by engaging the work piece on at least one side of the splitting line using, e.g. projections disposed on at least one side of the splitting line and positioning the projections to engage the work piece during splitting, the resulting split piece has at least one irregular edge and surface.

The claims are patentable over Fladgard. Applicants note the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) and the reasoning provided therein. Applicants disagree with the rejection because of the differences from Fladgard noted above.

In Conclusion

With these amendments Applicants believe that the claims now pending in this patent application are in immediate condition for allowance. Favorable consideration is respectfully requested. If any further questions arise, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' representative at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903 612/332-5300

Date: September 25, 2002

James A. Larson Reg. No.: 40,443



5

10

15

20

25

3616.177USI1 **PATENT**

BLOCK SPLITTING ASSEMBLY AND METHOD

This application is a continuation-in-part of application serial no. 09/330,879 filed on June 11, 1999 (now U.S. Patent 6,321,740).

Field of the Invention

The invention relates generally to manufacture of [masonry] concrete block. More specifically, it relates to equipment and processes for the creation of decorative faces on [masonry] concrete block. Even more specifically, the invention relates to equipment and processes for producing roughened textures and the appearance of weathered or rock-like edges on [masonry] concrete block.

Background of the Invention

The process of splitting a [masonry] concrete block to create a rock-like appearance on the exposed face of the block is known. See, for example, Besser, U.S. Patent No. 1,534,353, which discloses the manual splitting of blocks using a hammer and chisel. Automated equipment to split block is well-known, and generally includes a splitting table comprising a supporting table and one or more hydraulically-actuated splitting blades. These machines are useful for the high-speed processing of blocks. They produce a rock-face finish on the blocks. The edges of the faces are generally well-defined, i.e., "sharp".

It is sometimes desirable to produce a concrete product that has edges which appear to be weathered. This has been a desired look for concrete pavingstones for sometime. Recently, it has become desirable to create the weathered look on the decorative face of concrete retaining wall blocks. The common process for producing the weathered look on pavers is to "tumble" the pavers in a rotary drum to knock off their sharp edges. This process can be used with some retaining wall blocks, as well, provided that the blocks do not have any features, such as integral concrete locator

flanges, that would be damaged by the tumbling process. Tumbling is not an option with such blocks. The problem with the tumbling process is that it is costly. The process requires the capital investment in a tumbling apparatus, and the upkeep of that equipment. In addition, the pavers or blocks must be removed from the production line, tumbled, and then reassembled into suitable cubes for transportation. This makes the process labor-intensive.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Another option is to use a hammermill to attack the face of the block with various hammers. This option can slow down production, if it is done "in line", because the process can only move as fast as the hammermill can operate on each block, and the block may need to be manipulated-flipped over and or rotated-to attack all of its edges.

Accordingly, there is a need for equipment and a process that will create the appearance of weathered edges on retaining wall [block] <u>blocks</u>, in such a manner that it will not slow down the production line, will not add costly equipment to the line, will not be labor-intensive, and will not have high cull rates when processing blocks with integral locator flanges or other similar features.

Summary of the Invention

In accordance with a first aspect of the invention, there is provided a block splitter assembly comprising first and second opposed splitting blade assemblies, each of the first and second splitting blade assemblies comprising respective first and second splitting blades and one or more projections positioned adjacent to each of the first and second blades.

In accordance with a second aspect of the invention, there is provided a block splitter comprising first and second opposed splitting blade assemblies, each of the first and second opposed splitting blade assemblies comprising a plurality of projections.

In accordance with another aspect of the invention, there is provided a [masonry] concrete block splitter comprising first and second opposed splitting blade assemblies, the first blade assembly comprising a first splitting blade having first and second sides, said first blade assembly comprising a plurality of projections adjacent the

first splitting blade first side and a plurality of projections adjacent the first splitting blade second side, the second blade assembly comprising a second splitting blade having first and second sides, the second blade assembly comprising a plurality of projections adjacent the second splitting blade first side and a plurality of projections adjacent the second splitting blade second side.

5

10

15

20

In accordance with a further aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of splitting [masonry] concrete block using a [masonry] concrete block splitter, comprising first and second opposed splitting blade assemblies, said first blade assembly comprising a first splitting blade having first and second sides, said first blade assembly comprising a plurality of projections adjacent said first splitting blade first side and a plurality of projections adjacent said first splitting blade second side, said second blade assembly comprising a second splitting blade having first and second sides, said second blade assembly comprising a plurality of projections adjacent said second splitting blade first side and a plurality of projections adjacent said second splitting blade second side, said method comprising the step of striking the [masonry] concrete block with said first and second opposed splitting blade assemblies.

In another aspect of the invention, a gripper assembly is employed to hold the work piece together from the sides during splitting. The gripper assembly could optionally include side knives or projections.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a partial perspective view of a block splitting machine using the block splitter blade assembly of the invention.

Figure 2A is a top plan view of one portion of a splitting blade assembly in accordance with the invention.

Figure 2B is a top plan view of one portion of a splitting blade assembly also showing [protrusion] <u>projections</u> of various [diameter] <u>diameters</u> positioned in a random manner.

Figure 2C is a top plan view of one portion of a splitting blade assembly in accordance with a further alternative embodiment of the invention comprising [protrusions] projections which are random connected and unconnected panels.

Figure 3 is a side elevational view of an alternative embodiment of a [protrusion] projection in accordance with the invention.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Figure 4A is a side elevational view of a further alternative embodiment of a [protrusion] <u>projection</u> in accordance with the invention.

Figure 4B is a side elevational view of another alternative embodiment of the invention depicting [protrusions] projections of varying height.

Figure 5 is a perspective view of a split work piece (forming two [masonry] concrete blocks), which was split using the splitter blade assembly of the invention.

Figure 6 is a top plan view of a [masonry] <u>concrete</u> block split using the splitter blade assembly of the invention.

Figure 7 is a front elevational view of the [masonry] <u>concrete</u> block depicted in Figure 6.

Figure 8 is a partial sectional end view of an alternative embodiment of a top splitter blade assembly.

Figure 9 is a partial sectional end view of an alternative embodiment of a bottom splitter blade assembly.

Figure 10 is a top plan view of a portion of the Figure 9 embodiment of a bottom splitter blade assembly shown in relation to a work piece.

Figure 11 is a top plan view of a gripper assembly according to the present invention, and another alternative embodiment of a bottom splitter blade assembly, shown in relation to a work piece.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

Attention is now directed to the figures where like parts are identified with like numerals through several views. In Figure 1, a conventional block splitting machine modified in accordance with invention is depicted, in part, showing in

particular the block splitter assembly 10. Generally, block splitting machines may be obtained from Lithibar Co., located in Holland, Michigan. In particular, the Lithibar Co. 6386 was used in practicing the invention. The block splitter assembly generally has opposed first 12 and second 22 splitting blade assemblies. The first splitting blade assembly 12 is positioned at the bottom of the block splitter 10 and, as depicted, includes a splitting blade 14 projecting from a blade holder 15 and a number of [protrusions] projections 16 positioned on the blade holder on either side of and adjacent to the blade.

5

10

15

20

25

The invention may be used with any variety of blocks molded or formed through any variety of processes including those blocks and processes disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,827,015 issued October 27, 1998, U.S. Patent No. 5,017,049 issued May 21, 1991 and U.S. Patent No. 5,709,062 issued January 20, 1998.

An upper or second splitting blade assembly 22 may also be seen in Figure 1. The second splitting blade assembly 22 also includes a splitting blade 24 and a plurality of projections 26 located on either side of the blade 24. The second splitting blade assembly may be attached to the machine's top plate 30 through a blade holder 28. The position of the work piece 40, (shown in phantom), within the block splitter may be seen in Figure 1, in the ready-to-split position.

As can be seen in Figure 2A, the splitting blade assembly 12 is generally comprised of a number of projections 16 positioned on the blade holder 15 adjacent to blade 14 and on either side of the blade 14. As shown, the projections 16 on the first side of the blade are staggered in relationship to the projections 16' on the second side of the blade. The projections on either side of the blade may also be aligned depending upon the intent of the operator.

The splitting blade 14 (and likewise the splitting blade 24) defines a splitting line SL, shown in Figure 2A, with which the work piece is aligned for splitting. When splitting blades are not used, such as shown in Figure 2B, the work piece 40 is still aligned with the splitting line which is illustrated as extending generally through the center of the assembly 12. In either event, block splitters conventionally have a

splitting line SL, defined by splitting blades when used, with which the work piece is aligned for splitting.

As can be seen in Figure 2B, the [protrusions] <u>projections</u> 16 may be used without a splitting blade. The [protrusions] <u>projections</u> 16 may also be varied in diameter or perimeter, (if not round), and placed randomly on the splitting assembly 12. Any number of ordered or random patterns of [protrusions] <u>projections</u> 16 may be created using regular or irregular spacing depending on the effect to be created in the split block.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Figure 2C shows a further alternative embodiment of the invention where plates 16" are attached to either, or both, assemblies 12 and 22. As can be seen, these plates may be configured in random order and left unconnected across the surface of the assembly 12. The invention has been practiced using steel plates about four inches long welded to the assembly to provide a number of partially connected [protrusions] projections 16" about two inches high.

As shown in Figures 1, 2A, and 2B, the projections 16 and 16' may have a rounded shape. However, the shape of the projections may also be pyramidal, cubic, or pointed with one or more points on the top surface of the projection. [Here again] <u>In Figures 2B and 2C</u>, the relative position of the work piece 40 is <u>again</u> shown in phantom outline.

Generally, the [protrusions] <u>projections</u> may have a diameter of about 1/2 to 1 and 1/4 inches and may be attached by welding, screwing or other suitable means. The height of the [protrusions] <u>projections</u> may be about 1 and 1/4 inches and varied about 3/4 of an inch shorter or taller depending upon the affect to be created in the block at splitting. Attaching the [protrusions] <u>projections</u> by threading or screwing, see Figures 8, 9 and 11, allows easy adjustment of [protrusion] projection height.

The relative height of the projection and blade may also be varied depending upon the effect that is to be created in the block split according to the invention. Specifically, as can be seen in Figure 3, the relative height of the blade 14 may be less than the relative height of the projection 16. Alternatively, as can be seen in [Figure 4] Figure 4A the relative height of the blade may be greater than the height of

the projections 26. [Generally] For example, we have found with the first splitting blade assembly 12 that X may range from about 1/8 to about 3/8 of an inch beyond the first blade. With regard to the second splitting blade assembly 22, X' may range from about 1/16 to 1/8 of an inch beyond the height of the plurality of the projections.

5

10

15

20

25

[Protrusions] <u>Projections</u> 16 such as those depicted in Fig. 2A have been found useful having a diameter of about 1 and 1/4 inches and, when used with a blade 14, having a height of about 1/8 of an inch above the blade in the first or lower assembly and 1/8 of an inch below the blade in the second or upper assembly. Overall, the height of the [protrusion] <u>projections</u> may vary up or down about 3/8 of an inch relative to the height of the blade.

In operation, the work piece is generally centered in the block splitter and aligned with the splitting line SL according to known practices as seen in Figures 1, 2A, 2B and [2] 2C. The block splitter is then activated resulting in the first and second opposing splitting blade assemblies converging on, and striking, the work piece 40. In operation, the first and second splitting blade assemblies may travel anywhere from about 1/4 to one inch into the top and bottom surfaces of the work piece. The work piece 40 is then split resulting in an uneven patterning on the split edges [46] 46a, 46b and [46']46a', 46b' of the resulting blocks, [42 and 44,] 44 and 42, as illustrated in Figure 5. As depicted, the work piece 40' is split in two. However, it is possible and within the scope of the invention to split the work piece into more than two pieces.

The distance traveled by the [protrusions] <u>projections</u> 16 into the work piece may be varied by adjusting the limit switches on the machine and, in turn, varying the hydraulic pressure with which the splitting assembly acts. Generally, the splitting assemblies act on the block with a pressure ranging from about 600 to 1000 psi, and preferably about 750 to 800 psi.

As will be well understood by one of skill in the art, the splitting machine may include opposed hydraulically activated side knife assemblies (not shown) which impinge upon the block with the same timing and in the same manner as the opposed top and bottom assemblies. Projections 16 may also be used to supplement or

replace the action of the side knives. For example, side knives similar to the upper splitting blade 24 shown in Figure 8 can be employed.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Closer examination of block 44 after splitting (see Figures 6 and 7) shows the formation of exaggerated points of erosion in the front, split surface [46] <u>47</u> of the block 44. With the block 44 depicted, both the first and second blade assemblies 12 and 22 comprised [protrusions] <u>projections</u> 16 and 26, respectively. As a result, depressions 48 and 50 were formed [in] <u>at the upper and lower edges 46a, 46b of the</u> front, split surface [46] <u>47</u> of the block 44, [adjacent] <u>at the intersection of the upper 52</u> and lower 54 respective surface of the block 44.

The magnitude of the indentations, 48 and 50, or points of erosion is far greater than that which is caused by conventional splitting blades and may be varied by varying the prominence of the [protrusions] projections 16 and 26, (height and size), relative to the height and thickness of the blade. In one embodiment of the invention [masonry], concrete block may be split with only a row or rows of [protrusions] projections 16 and 26 without a blade 14 and 24.

Referring to Figures 8 and 9, alternative embodiments of a top splitting blade assembly [22] 22' and bottom splitting blade assembly [12] 12', respectively, are shown. It has been found that more massive [blades 14, 24] blade assemblies 12', 22' having projections 16, 26 thereon create a more desirable block face appearance.

[Blades 14, 24 include a] Blade assemblies 12', 22' include blade holders 15', 28' having blades 14', 24' that include central cutting edge 21 and 31, respectively, and blade holder surfaces 19, 29 extending outwardly [therefrom] from the blades. The cutting edges 21, 31 define the splitting line along which the work piece will be split. Surfaces 19, 29 are at a blunt angle so as to make the top and bottom edges of the block face more rounded and therefore rock-like. [,] The surfaces 19, 29 are preferably at an angle α between 0° and 30° relative to horizontal, most preferably 23°.

[Blades 14, 24] <u>Blade holders 15', 28'</u> include projections 16, 26 that are adjustable and removable. In this way, the same blade assembly can be used for splitting different block configurations by changing the number, location, spacing and height of the projections. Projections 16, 26 are preferably threaded into corresponding

threaded openings 17, 27 for adjustment, although other height adjustment means could be employed. The top surface of projections 16, 26 is jagged, comprising many pyramids in a checkerboard pattern. Projections such as these can be obtained from Fairlane Products Co. It will be understood that a variety of other projection top surface configurations could be employed.

5

10

15

20

25

30

The height of the top surface of projections is preferably a distance X' below the top of cutting edge 21, 31, most preferably 0.040 inch below. As discussed above with respect to other embodiments, the projections may extend further below, or some distance above, the top of the blade, within the principles of the invention. The projections shown are 3/4 inch diameter with a 10 thread/inch pitch, and are 1.50 inches long. Diameters between 0.50 and 1.0 inch are believed preferable. The loose block material from the splitting process entering the threads, in combination with the vertical force of the splitting strikes, are considered sufficient to lock [them] the projections in place.

The preferred top blade assembly [22] <u>22'</u> is 2.5 inches wide. Projections 26 extend perpendicularly from blade <u>holder</u> surfaces 29 and therefore strike the working piece at an angle.

The preferred bottom blade assembly [12] 12' is 4.0 inches wide. Projections 16 extend upwardly from shoulders 23 on opposite sides of blade holder surfaces 19. This configuration breaks away more material and creates a more rounded rock-like top edge (the work piece is typically inverted) of the split blocks. Blade assembly [12] 12' could optionally include projections 16 on blade holder surfaces 19, as shown in Figure 11.

In operation, the blade assemblies of Figures 8 and 9 are used together and in the same manner described above with respect to cutting depth and hydraulic pressures. It will be understood that the bottom blade assembly could be used on top, and the top blade assembly could be used on the bottom.

Referring now to Figure 10, a blade assembly 12' according to Figure 9 is depicted positioned for striking a work piece 40. Work piece 40 comprises portions which will result in small 60, medium 62 and large 64 blocks. A projection 16 is

preferably placed at each corner of the three blocks 60, 62, 64 to be created, as shown. In this way, more rounded, rock-like corners are formed in the splitting process. This positioning of projections at the block corners can be used in conjunction with mold configurations that pre-form the slab at the corners so as to better achieve this effect.

Upper blade assembly 22' of Figure 8 has similarly oriented projections except that they

Upper blade assembly <u>22'</u> of Figure 8 has similarly oriented projections except that they are closer to a centerline of the workpiece, as can be seen from Figure 8.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Referring now to Figure 11, a gripper assembly 70 is shown in conjunction with a work piece 40 and bottom splitting blade assembly [12] 12'. Gripper assembly 70 is employed to assist with splitting certain types of larger block units. It is mounted via mounting head 71 on the existing side-knife cylinders of the splitting machine. Rubber shoes 72 are configured to conform to the corresponding outer surface of work piece 40. Each gripper assembly 70 moves in and out laterally, as indicated by arrows, in order to grip work piece 40 from both sides. In the preferred design, assembly 70 is 3.0 inches high and rubber shoes 72 are 50-100 Durometer hardness. The pressure applied by the hydraulic cylinders is the same as that for the upper and lower blades.

One benefit of this gripper assembly is improving the formation of rounded edges of a work piece made by a bottom blade. [Product] Work piece 40 is moved along the manufacturing line by positioning bar 80 in the direction of the arrow shown. During splitting, while the rear portion of work piece 40 is held in place by bar 80, the forward portion is free to move forwardly. Many splitting machines have a splitting action whereby the bottom blade moves to engage the product after the top blade has touched the top of the product. The initial cutting action of the top blade can begin to move the forward portion forwardly before the bottom blade has an opportunity to fully form a rounded edge on the forward block with, for example, projections 16 and/or blade holder surfaces 19. The bottom blade assembly can also lift the work piece, which is undesirable for a number of reasons. By holding the work piece 40 together during splitting, these problems are prevented.

Gripper assembly 70 can optionally include projections 16, as shown <u>in</u>

<u>Figure 11</u>. Projections 16 are preferably positioned slightly inside the top and bottom

edges of the work piece (four projections for each gripper assembly 70) so when they strike the side of the work piece 40, more rounded block corners will be formed. The assembly can also include a side knife contained within its central cavity 73, having a blunt blade such as those described hereinabove, for forming rounded, rock-like side edges of the split blocks. It may be necessary to include an appropriate strength spring behind the side knife in order to get the desired action from the gripper and knife.

5

10

The above specification, examples and data provide a complete description of the manufacture and use of the composition of the invention. Since many embodiments of the invention can be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention, the invention resides in the claims hereinafter appended.

Abstract

A block splitting assembly and method which uses any of a variety of [protrusions] projections to supplement or replace the action of the splitting blade in splitting and dressing concrete [or masonry] block. A gripper assembly holds the block during splitting.

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.10:	
"Express Mail" mailing label number:	
Date of Deposit:	
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the to Addressee service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.	
Ву:	
Name :	